


SEP 09 2005

FACSIMILE**COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,
CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD.**One North Wacker Drive, Suite 4130
Chicago, Illinois 60606To: Examiner Qureshi
United States Patent & Trademark Office
Fax number: (571) 273-8300From: David M. Mundt, Esq.
Fax number: 312-984-0146

Date: 09/09/2005

Regarding:
Telephone Call of September 1, 2005Phone number for follow-up:
312-984-0144Total Number of Pages, including cover **15****COMMENTS:**

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION OR DISTRIBUTION OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE CALL THE SENDER COLLECT AT (USA) 312-984-0144, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

SEP 09 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 09/810,314
Confirmation No. : 8678
Inventor : Schweitzer, III, Edmund O.
Filed : March 14, 2001
TC/A.U. : 8678
Examiner : Qureshi, Afsar M.
Title : Communications Processor for Electric Power Substations
Docket No. : 1444-0057.01

**CERTIFICATE OF
TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this
correspondence is being
transmitted via facsimile to
Examiner Qureshi at

(571) 273-8300 on

Date: Sept. 9, 2005

Kim Canchola
By Kim Canchola
Kim Canchola

LETTER

Dear Examiner Qureshi:

Thank you for your telephone call of September 1, 2005. During that conversation you expressed your concern regarding two issues with the above-referenced case.

First, that the previously-filed response included deleted claim language that was stricken-through, rather than bracketed. We have attached a copy of the Response incorporating this change.

Second, that the documentation fails to specify what the error is and/or what claim language is too broad. We have attached a copy of (1) the Reissue Application Declaration By The Assignee; and (2) the previously-filed Affidavit of David Dolezilek. From a review of these documents, and the original amendment to claim 1, it should be noted that the error was original issuance of claim 1 of the 5,680,324 patent in light of the Tetragenics RTU without the limitation of communication parameters for at least several of the port positions to enable proper data communication between the communications processor and any of a plurality of different electronic devices connected thereto.

We believe this transmission addresses your concerns, but would be pleased to provide further information if you deem necessary.

Very truly yours,

Cook, Alex, McFarren, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.

David M. Mundt
David M. Mundt

200 West Adams Street, Suite 2850
Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 984-0144

September 9, 2005

RECEIVED 003/015
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 09 2005

PATENT
Case No. 1444-0057.01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of)	
Edmund O. Schweitzer, III et al.)	Group Art Unit: 2667
Serial No. 09/810,314)	Examiner: Afsar M. Qureshi
Filed: March 14, 2001)	Confirmation No. 8678
For: Communications Processor for)	
Electric Power Substations)	

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT DATED APRIL 14, 2005 AND
OFFICE ACTION DATED OCTOBER 19, 2004**

To: MAIL STOP AMENDMENT
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir,

In response to the Office Action of October 19, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

This Response includes the following sections:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of the claims which begin on page 3 of this Response.

Remarks begin on page 7 of this Response.